



Please cancel claim 34.

COPY OF PAPERS
ORIGINALLY FILED

RECEIVED

SEP 04 2002

Technology Center 2600

35. (Amended) A computer system comprising:
a unit containing a keyboard;
a first display adapted to display a raster image, the first display facing in a first
direction;

D3
a second display adapted to receive a pen-based input, the second display facing
substantially in the first direction,

wherein the unit is separate from the first and second displays; and
at least one hinge to couple one of the first and second displays to the unit.

D4
37. (Amended) The computer system of claim 35, wherein the first display is
hingedly connected to the second display.

D5
39. (Amended) The computer system of claim 35, wherein the unit is adapted to
receive an indication of the pen-based input from the second display.

REMARKS

§ 112 Rejection:

Claims 32 and 33 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph. Specifically, the rejection was based on lack of support in the specification for the limitation of claim 32. Claim 32 has been amended to call for displaying an image in the second display concurrently with receiving the pen-based input at the first display. This limitation is well-supported in the specification. For instance, on page 3, lines 5-6 it is clearly stated that pen-based and conventional displays may be used concurrently. Further, on lines 6-8 of that same page it is also stated that a user may provide input on the pen-based display while viewing an image on the conventional display. Thus, an image may be displayed on one display while input via a pen takes place at the second display. Accordingly, the Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner withdraw the § 112 rejection.

§ 102 Rejections:

Claims 26-31 and 34-40 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Batio. However, Batio does not teach each and every element set forth in claims 28 and 35.